Magnetic Fields in Large-scale Jets

Volker Gaibler Theoretical Structure Formation Group MPE Garching

Max Camenzind (LSW Heidelberg) Martin Krause (MPE Garching) Sadegh Khochfar (MPE Garching)



Outline

Jet – Galaxy/ISM interaction

- X-ray cavities
- Turbulent cocoons
- Efficient thermalization
- Magnetic fields: stabilization & amplification of fields
- Ongoing work

most results: Gaibler, Krause, Camenzind (submitted to MNRAS, 2009)

Why Jets?

- Massive elliptical galaxies formed already at high redshift Most massive SMBH also form early
- Can AGN feedback get it done?
 - quench star formation in massive ellipticals (negative feedback)
 - trigger star formation by jet activity (positive feedback)
- > Open questions (cf. Joe Silk's talk):
 - star formation efficiency depends on turbulence in ISM
 - magnetic fields important to regulate SF
 - evidence for jet-triggered star formation: is that an option for early and strong SF?

 \rightarrow Jet feedback has to be examined in detail (resolved!)

Jet – Galaxy Interaction

well-collimated beams

only minor interaction with galaxy once they broke out???



Cyg A @ 5 GHz Perley+ 1984 (with giant elliptical overlay, M87)

Jet – Galaxy Interaction

well-collimated beams

only minor interaction with galaxy once they broke out???



No!

Whole galaxy contained in cocoon

Cyg A @ 5 GHz Perley+ 1984

Cyg A @ 327 MHz contour overlay Lazio+ 2006

High-z Radio Galaxies

- Extended Emission Line Regions aligned with jets
- Outflows
- Highly turbulent motion (~ 1000 km/s)



Nesvadba+ 2008

Morphology



Volker Gaibler: Magnetized AGN Jets

R

Ambient Gas & Cavities

- Thermal ambient gas: ICM in bremsstrahlung
- Cavities: ambient gas displaced by cocoon
- Relativistic particles synchrotron & inverse compton (beam and cocoon)







MS0735 at z=0.22 (Chandra)

Jets and Cavities



Cygnus A (Wilson/Carilli/Perley) z=0.0561 enthalpy 3 x 10⁶⁰ erg power 1.3 x 10⁴⁵ erg/s Perseus A (Fabian+ 2006) z=0.0183pV ~ 2 x 10⁵⁹ erg power 10⁴⁴⁻⁴⁵ erg/s



Very Light Jets

> Important: Density contrast: $\eta = \rho_{jet} / \rho_{ambient}$

our study: 10⁻¹ ... 10⁻⁴ *under-dense* jets

AGN jets:

despite their power very underdense on large scales!

- mildly relativistic speeds of the beam plasma
- but propagation much slower than jet speed
- strong backflow
- wide cocoons (Norman+ 1983)
- Estimate jet densities:
 - jet power, mass flux and jet speed
 - comparison to Eddington accretion limit
 - hotspot pressures (ram pressure)
 - propagation speeds

generally $\eta < 10^{-2}$

Density Contrast

"Light"

η = 10⁻³

"Heavy" $\eta = 10^{-1}$



-60 -40 -20 0 20 40 60 X [kpc] 2 keV log flux -4.54 -4.52 -4.50 -4.48 -4.46 -4.44 -4.42 -4.40

Cocoon Pressure



Jet – Galaxy Interaction

well-collimated beams

only minor interaction with galaxy once they broke out???



No!

Whole galaxy contained in cocoon

Cyg A @ 5 GHz Perley+ 1984

Cyg A @ 327 MHz contour overlay Lazio+ 2006

Cocoon Turbulence

strong backflow

- highly turbulent cocoon!
- interaction with ISM
- Creation of multi-phase turbulence in cocoon (M. Krause)



[animation]

Cocoon Turbulence



waves and ripples in Perseus A Fabian+ 2006

pressure map

> travelling sound waves in shocked ambient gas

- weak bow shock softly turns into sound wave!
- dissipation / heating?

Energy Budget

- light jets:
 high thermalization efficiency
 hot radio plasma cavity
 & heated ambient gas (hot phase)
- thermalization ~ 80% (half cocoon, half ambient) Zanni+ 2005: up to 75% irreversibly dissipated
- several percent of total power contained in cocoon turbulence may stir up ISM





Location of the Emission Line Gas



O III FWHM km/s

project with N. Nesvadba

multi-phase medium simulations: Martin Krause



Volker Gaibler: Magnetized AGN Jets

Magnetic Fields

- Why magnetic fields?
 - synchrotron emission \rightarrow they must be there!
 - what is their effect?
- Topology:
 - infer from polarization measurements
 - mostly axial in beam, perpendicular at hotspots
 - stretched tangled fields? helical fields?
- Assume helical fields
 - effects found should also be relevant for tangled fields
 - resolve magnetic field structure well
 - magnetic field confined to jet (by setup)
 - sub-equipartition

Magnetic Fields: Stabilization

- M3: plasma beta = 8.1 (injected)
- comparison
 HD MHD
 - damp KH shear instability (field lines resist bending)
 - less entrainment
 - stabilization in cocoon not enough?



M3 density

Step 1: Beam Rotation

initially: beam has no rotation, but helical field

 plasma rotation:
 "MHD angular momentum conservation" (exchange of magnetic field and plasma angular momentum)



Step 2: Shearing and Field Generation

- backflow:
 plasma streams
 off the axis
- angular momentum conservation: differential rotation
- Shearing: kinetic → magnetic amplifies fields



Magnetic Field Magnitude

COCOON:

much stronger fields than expected from flux conservation

expectation in 3D: also strong fields, but balance poloidal/toroidal, turbulent distribution of magnetic fields in cocoon



Poloidal Magnetic Field

- strong in beam
- highly turbulent
- ▶ poloidal component artificially weak in 2.5Dcocoons → 3D



The Question of Equipartition

- often assumed: equipartition between magnetic field and relativistic particles
- here:
 check magnetic field and plasma pressure (plasma beta)
- beam: beta constant across shocks
- cocoon: spread



Ongoing Work

- Physical mechanism examined by axisymmetric simulations
- > 3D simulations of jets in clumpy medium for extended emission line regions in HzRG
- Interaction with cosmological environment Self-consistent evolution of jet activity (accretion, spin, ...)





Summary

- cocoon turbulence excites sound waves, interactionwith ISM thermalization very efficient for very light jets
- magnetic fields stabilize jet head, suppress entrainment
- helical fields & shearing generate magnetic energy: damp KH instability and magnetize cocoon
- > 3D simulations necessary for realistic turbulent interaction with ISM and cosmological environment